

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/646,428	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAWLEY, DAVID	
	<b>Examiner</b> Satish S. Rampuria	<b>Art Unit</b> 2191	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Satish S. Rampuria.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) DRAGSETH, JOHN (Reg. No. 42,497).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 December 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

No.

Claims discussed:


8,11,13-15

Prior art documents discussed:

No.

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Examiner proposed an examiner amendment to claims 8,11, and 13-15 for potential 101. For details please see the Examiner's answer.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)